



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

HENRY MICHAEL HADDEN
7493 WEST QUAIL AVENUE
GLENDALE, AZ 85308

COPY MAILED

AUG 16 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Hadden and Autio

Application No. 09/941,525

Filed: August 29, 2001

Attorney Docket No. 431177.80015

:
:
:
: DECISION ON PETITION
:

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed June 1, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-cited application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed December 9, 2003, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months from its mailing date. Petitioner obtained a three-month extension of time, but no reply was received within the statutory period. The application became abandoned on June 10, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on July 1, 2004.

The amendment filed June 1, 2005, is noted and made of record.

The request for an extension of time within the third month filed with the petition is noted, however it is not necessary and cannot be granted as the request was made outside the maximum statutory period for reply.


It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant

petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney or authorization of agent must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address of currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

The application is being directed to Technology Center 3700, GAU 3765 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3222.



Kenya A. McLaughlin
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions

cc:

Jaye G. Heybel
555 St. Charles Drive, Suite 107
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360